

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/003,354	12/06/2001	C. Frank Bennett	RTS-0348	RTS-0348 5827	
75	90 05/15/2003			+.	
Jane Massey Licata Licata & Tyrrell, P.C. 66 East Main Street		:	EXAMINER		
			GIBBS, TERRA C		
Marlton, NJ 08	3053		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
	·	ı	1635	9	
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/003,354	BENNETT ET AL.	
navissity nearly	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terra C. Gibbs	1635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a Ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The final the fina	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
 imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	Brief must be filed within the pe		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	,		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ——		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-15.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:		RAM PHUKLA PRIMARY EXAMI	NER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While applicants have amended the claims to a compound targeted to specific regions of phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate 5-kinase I-alpha (SEQ ID NO: 3), the amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance because the pending claims would remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for the reason of record in the Office Action mailed 1/31/03 in Paper No. 7.

RAM SHUKLA PAM SHUKLA PUMARY EXAMINER